

**On Minimizing Some Merit Functions for
Complementarity Problems under
H-Differentiability**

M.A. Tawhid

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science, Alexandria University
Alexandria-Egypt
tawhid@crt.umontreal.ca*

J.-L Goffin

*Faculty of Management, McGill University
1001 Sherbrooke Street West
Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 1G5
and GERAD
goffin@management.mcgill.ca*

March, 2002

Les Cahiers du GERAD

G-2002-16

Copyright © 2002 GERAD

Abstract

In this paper, we describe H -differentials of some well known NCP functions and their merit functions. We show how, under appropriate conditions on an H -differential of f , minimizing a merit function corresponding to f leads to a solution of the nonlinear complementarity problem. Our results give a unified treatment of such results for C^1 -functions, semismooth-functions, and for locally Lipschitzian functions. Illustrations are given to show the usefulness of our results.

Key Words. H -Differentiability, semismooth-functions, locally Lipschitzian, generalized Jacobian, nonlinear complementarity problem, NCP function, merit function, regularity conditions.

Résumé

Cet article décrit les H -différentiels associés à des problèmes de complémentarité non-linéaire et à leurs fonctions mérites. Sous des conditions appropriées sur le H -différentiel de f , la minimisation de la fonction mérite correspondant à f conduit à la solution du problème de complémentarité non-linéaire. Ces résultats donnent un traitement unifié de résultats analogues dans le cas de fonctions C^1 , “*semismooth*” et localement Lipschitz. Nous illustrons l’utilité de ces résultats par plusieurs exemples.

1 Introduction

The concepts of H -differentiability and H -differential were introduced in [12] to study the injectivity of nonsmooth functions. It has been shown in [12] that the Fréchet derivative of a Fréchet differentiable function, the Clarke generalized Jacobian of a locally Lipschitzian function [2], the Bouligand subdifferential of a semismooth function [19], [21], [23], and the C -differential of a C -differentiable function [22] are examples of H -differentials. Characterizations of \mathbf{P}_0 - and \mathbf{P} - properties of a function were studied in [26] and some applications of H -differentiability to optimization, complementarity, and variational inequalities are treated in [28], [29]. The inverse and implicit function theorems of H -differentiability have been proven in [11]. It was observed in [10] that H -differentials are related to an approximate Jacobian [14] in that the closure of an H -differentials is an approximate Jacobian.

In this article, we consider a nonlinear complementarity problem $\text{NCP}(f)$ corresponding to an H -differentiable function $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$: Find $\bar{x} \in R^n$ such that

$$\bar{x} \geq 0, \quad f(\bar{x}) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f(\bar{x}), \bar{x} \rangle = 0.$$

By considering an NCP function $\Phi : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ associated with $\text{NCP}(f)$ so that

$$\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \bar{x} \text{ solves } \text{NCP}(f),$$

and the corresponding merit function

$$\Psi(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(x). \tag{1}$$

In this paper, we describe H -differentials of some well known NCP functions and their merit functions. Also, we show how, under appropriate $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P}, \text{regularity})$, positive definiteness conditions on an H -differential of f , finding local/global minimum of Ψ (or a ‘stationary point’ of Ψ) leads to a solution of the given nonlinear complementarity problem. Our results unify/extend various similar results proved in the literature for C^1 , locally Lipschitzian, and semismooth functions [4], [5], [6], [13], [15], [16], [18], [25], [30], [31], [32].

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we regard vectors in R^n as column vectors. We denote the inner-product between two vectors x and y in R^n by either $x^T y$ or $\langle x, y \rangle$. Vector inequalities are interpreted componentwise. For a matrix A , A_i denotes the i th row of A . For a differentiable function $f : R^n \rightarrow R^m$, $\nabla f(\bar{x})$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of f at \bar{x} .

Definition 1 A function $\phi : R^2 \rightarrow R$ is called an NCP function if

$$\phi(a, b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab = 0, a \geq 0, b \geq 0.$$

For the problem $NCP(f)$, we define

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(x_1, f_1(x)) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(x_i, f_i(x)) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(x_n, f_n(x)) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

and, call $\Phi(x)$ an NCP function for $NCP(f)$.

We need the following definitions from [3], [20].

Definition 2 A matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is called

(a) $\mathbf{P}_0^+(\mathbf{P}^+)$ -matrix if

$$\forall x \in R_+^n, x \neq 0, \text{ there exists } i \text{ such that } x_i \neq 0 \text{ and } x_i (Ax)_i \geq 0 \quad (> 0).$$

(b) semimonotone (\mathbf{E}_0) [strictly semimonotone (\mathbf{E})]-matrix if

$$\forall x \in R_+^n, x \neq 0, \text{ there exists } i \text{ such that } x_i (Ax)_i \geq 0 \quad [> 0].$$

Definition 3 For a function $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$, we say that f is a

(i) monotone if

$$\langle f(x) - f(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R^n.$$

(ii) $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -function if, for any $x \neq y$ in R^n ,

$$\max_{\{i: x_i \neq y_i\}} (x - y)_i [f(x) - f(y)]_i \geq 0 \quad (> 0). \quad (3)$$

A matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is said to be a $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -matrix if the function $f(x) = Ax$ is a $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -function or equivalently, every principle minor of A is nonnegative (respectively, positive).

We note that every monotone (strictly monotone) function is a $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -function.

The following result is from [20] and [26].

Theorem 1 Under each the following conditions, $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is a $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -function.

- (a) f is Fréchet differentiable on R^n and for every $x \in R^n$, the Jacobian matrix $\nabla f(x)$ is a $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -matrix.
- (b) f is locally Lipschitzian on R^n and for every $x \in R^n$, the generalized Jacobian $\partial f(x)$ consists of $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -matrices.
- (c) f is semismooth on R^n (in particular, piecewise affine or piecewise smooth) and for every $x \in R^n$, the Bouligand subdifferential $\partial_B f(x)$ consists of $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -matrices.

(d) f is H -differentiable on R^n and for every $x \in R^n$, an H -differential $T_f(x)$ consists of $\mathbf{P}_0(\mathbf{P})$ -matrices.

We now recall the following definition and examples from Gowda and Ravindran [12].

Definition 4 Given a function $F : \Omega \subseteq R^n \rightarrow R^m$ where Ω is an open set in R^n and $x^* \in \Omega$, we say that a nonempty subset $T(x^*)$ (also denoted by $T_F(x^*)$) of $R^{m \times n}$ is an H -differential of F at x^* if for every sequence $\{x^k\} \subseteq \Omega$ converging to x^* , there exist a subsequence $\{x^{k_j}\}$ and a matrix $A \in T(x^*)$ such that

$$F(x^{k_j}) - F(x^*) - A(x^{k_j} - x^*) = o(\|x_j^k - x^*\|). \quad (4)$$

We say that F is H -differentiable at x^* if F has an H -differential at x^* .

Remarks

As noted in [12], any superset of an H -differential is an H -differential, H -differentiability implies continuity, and H -differentials enjoy simple sum, product and chain rules.

As noted in [29], it is easily seen that if a function $F : \Omega \subseteq R^n \rightarrow R^m$ is H -differentiable at a point \bar{x} , then there exist a constant $L > 0$ and a neighbourhood $B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ of \bar{x} with

$$\|F(x) - F(\bar{x})\| \leq L\|x - \bar{x}\|, \quad \forall x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta). \quad (5)$$

Conversely, if condition (5) holds, then $T(\bar{x}) := R^{m \times n}$ can be taken as an H -differential of F at \bar{x} . We thus have, in (5), an alternate description of H -differentiability. But, as we see in the sequel, it is the identification of an appropriate H -differential that becomes important and relevant.

Clearly any function locally Lipschitzian at \bar{x} will satisfy (5). For real valued functions, condition (5) is known as the ‘calmness’ of F at \bar{x} . This concept has been well studied in the literature of nonsmooth analysis (see [24], Chapter 8).

Example 1 Let $F : R^n \rightarrow R^m$ be Fréchet differentiable at $x^* \in R^n$ with Fréchet derivative matrix (= Jacobian matrix derivative) $\{\nabla F(x^*)\}$ such that

$$F(x) - F(x^*) - \nabla F(x^*)(x - x^*) = o(\|x - x^*\|).$$

Then F is H -differentiable with $\{\nabla F(x^*)\}$ as an H -differential.

Example 2 Let $F : \Omega \subseteq R^n \rightarrow R^m$ be locally Lipschitzian at each point of an open set Ω . For $x^* \in \Omega$, define the Bouligand subdifferential of F at x^* by

$$\partial_B F(x^*) = \{\lim \nabla F(x^k) : x^k \rightarrow x^*, x^k \in \Omega_F\}$$

where Ω_F is the set of all points in Ω where F is Fréchet differentiable. Then, the (Clarke) generalized Jacobian [2]

$$\partial F(x^*) = \text{co} \partial_B F(x^*)$$

is an H -differential of F at x^* .

Example 3 Consider a locally Lipschitzian function $F : \Omega \subseteq R^n \rightarrow R^m$ that is semismooth at $x^* \in \Omega$ [19], [21], [23]. This means for any sequence $x^k \rightarrow x^*$, and for $V_k \in \partial F(x^k)$,

$$F(x^k) - F(x^*) - V_k(x^k - x^*) = o(\|x^k - x^*\|).$$

Then the Bouligand subdifferential

$$\partial_B F(x^*) = \{\lim \nabla F(x^k) : x^k \rightarrow x^*, x^k \in \Omega_F\}.$$

is an H -differential of F at x^* . In particular, this holds if F is piecewise smooth, i.e., there exist continuously differentiable functions $F_j : R^n \rightarrow R^m$ such that

$$F(x) \in \{F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_J(x)\} \quad \forall x \in R^n.$$

Example 4 Let $F : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be C -differentiable [22] in a neighborhood D of x^* . This means that there is a compact upper semicontinuous multivalued mapping $x \mapsto T(x)$ with $x \in D$ and $T(x) \subset R^{n \times n}$ satisfying the following condition at any $a \in D$: For $V \in T(x)$,

$$F(x) - F(a) - V(x - a) = o(\|x - a\|).$$

Then, F is H -differentiable at x^* with $T(x^*)$ as an H -differential.

Remark While the Fréchet derivative of a differentiable function, the Clarke generalized Jacobian of a locally Lipschitzian function [2], the Bouligand differential of a semismooth function [21], and the C -differential of a C -differentiable function [22] are particular instances of H -differential, the following simple example, is taken from [10], shows that an H -differentiable function need not be locally Lipschitzian nor directionally differentiable. Consider on R ,

$$F(x) = x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \text{ for } x \neq 0 \text{ and } F(0) = 0.$$

Then F is H -differentiable on R with

$$T(0) = [-1, 1] \text{ and } T(c) = \left\{ \sin\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) - \frac{1}{c} \cos\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \right\} \text{ for } c \neq 0.$$

We note that F is not locally Lipschitzian around zero. We also see that F is neither Fréchet differentiable nor directionally differentiable.

3 The H -differentiability of the merit function

In this section, we consider an NCP function Φ corresponding to $\text{NCP}(f)$ and its merit function $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$.

Theorem 2 Suppose Φ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with $T_\Phi(\bar{x})$ as an H -differential. Then $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{e^T B : B \in T_\Phi(\bar{x})\}.$$

Proof. To describe an H -differential of Ψ , let $\theta(x) = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$. Then $\Psi = \theta \circ \Phi$ so that by the chain rule for H -differentiability, we have $T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = (T_\theta \circ T_\Phi)(\bar{x})$ as an H -differential of Ψ at \bar{x} . Since $T_\theta(\bar{x}) = \{e^T\}$ where e is the vector of ones in R^n , we have

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{e^T B : B \in T_\Phi(\bar{x})\}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

4 H -differentials of some NCP/merit functions associated with H -differentiable functions

In this section, we describe the H -differentials of some well known NCP functions and their merit functions.

Example 5 In [18], Mangasarian and Solodov introduced the so-called implicit Lagrangian function for solving NCP(f). For $\alpha > 1$, let

$$\phi(a, b) := ab + \frac{1}{2\alpha} [\max^2\{0, a - \alpha b\} + \max^2\{0, b - \alpha a\} - a^2 - b^2].$$

Then the implicit Lagrangian at \bar{x} is

$$\Psi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i(x) = \phi(x_i, f_i(x)) &:= x_i f_i(x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} [\max^2\{0, x_i - \alpha f_i(x)\} \\ &\quad + \max^2\{0, f_i(x) - \alpha x_i\} - x_i^2 - f_i(x)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Suppose that f is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with $T(\bar{x})$ as an H -differential. We claim that $\Psi(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable with an H -differential $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$ consisting of all vectors of the form $v^T A + w^T$ with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w are columns vectors with entries defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= \bar{x}_i + \frac{1}{\alpha} [-\alpha \max\{0, \bar{x}_i - \alpha f_i(\bar{x})\} + \max\{0, f_i(\bar{x}) - \alpha \bar{x}_i\} - f_i(\bar{x})], \\ w_i &= f_i(\bar{x}) + \frac{1}{\alpha} [\max\{0, \bar{x}_i - \alpha f_i(\bar{x})\} - \bar{x}_i - \alpha \max\{0, f_i(\bar{x}) - \alpha \bar{x}_i\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

First we show that an H -differential of

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) := x * f(x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} [\max^2\{0, x - \alpha f(x)\} + \max^2\{0, f(x) - \alpha x\} \\ - x^2 - f(x)^2] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

is given by

$$T_{\Phi}(\bar{x}) = \{B = VA + W : A \in T(\bar{x}), V = \text{diag}(v_i) \text{ and } W = \text{diag}(w_i) \\ \text{where } v_i, w_i \text{ satisfy (7)}\}.$$

Let $g(x) = \max\{0, x - \alpha f(x)\}$, $h(x) = \max\{0, f(x) - \alpha x\}$. For each $A \in T(\bar{x})$, let A' and A'' be matrices such that for $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$A'_i \in \begin{cases} \{e_i - \alpha A_i\} & \text{if } \bar{x}_i - \alpha f_i(\bar{x}) > 0 \\ \{0, e_i - \alpha A_i\} & \text{if } \bar{x}_i - \alpha f_i(\bar{x}) = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \bar{x}_i - \alpha f_i(\bar{x}) < 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and

$$A''_i \in \begin{cases} \{A_i - \alpha e_i\} & \text{if } f_i(\bar{x}) - \alpha \bar{x}_i > 0 \\ \{0, A_i - \alpha e_i\} & \text{if } f_i(\bar{x}) - \alpha \bar{x}_i = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } f_i(\bar{x}) - \alpha \bar{x}_i < 0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Then it can be easily verified that $T_g(\bar{x}) = \{A' | A \in T(\bar{x})\}$ and

$T_h(\bar{x}) = \{A'' | A \in T(\bar{x})\}$ are H -differentials of g and h , respectively. Now simple calculations show that $T_{\Phi}(\bar{x})$ consists of matrices of the form

$$B = [\text{diag}(\bar{x})A + \text{diag}(f(\bar{x}))] + \frac{1}{2\alpha} [2\text{diag}(g(\bar{x}))A' + 2\text{diag}(h(\bar{x}))A'' \\ - 2\text{diag}(\bar{x}) - 2\text{diag}(f(\bar{x}))] \quad (11)$$

where A' and A'' corresponding $A \in T(\bar{x})$ are defined by (9) and (10), respectively.

Since $g_i(x) = 0$ when $x_i - \alpha f_i(x) \leq 0$, we have

$$\text{diag}(g(\bar{x}))A' = \text{diag}(g(\bar{x}))(I - \alpha A). \text{ Similarly, } \text{diag}(h(\bar{x}))A'' = \text{diag}(h(\bar{x}))(A - \alpha I).$$

Therefore, (11) becomes

$$B = \left[\text{diag}(\bar{x}) + \frac{1}{\alpha} [-\alpha \text{diag}(\max\{0, \bar{x} - \alpha f(\bar{x})\}) + \text{diag}(\max\{0, f(\bar{x}) - \alpha \bar{x}\}) \right. \\ \left. - \text{diag}(f(\bar{x})) \right] A + \left[\text{diag}(f(\bar{x})) + \frac{1}{\alpha} [\text{diag}(\max\{0, \bar{x} - \alpha f(\bar{x})\}) \right. \\ \left. - \alpha \text{diag}(\max\{0, f(\bar{x}) - \alpha \bar{x}\}) \right] = VA + W \quad (12)$$

where V and W are diagonal matrices with diagonal entries given by (7). By Theorem 2, we have

$$T_{\Psi}(\bar{x}) = \{e^T(VA + W) = v^T A + w^T : A \in T(\bar{x}), v \text{ and } w \text{ are vectors in } R^n \\ \text{with components defined by (7)}\}. \quad (13)$$

Example 6 The following NCP function is proposed independently by Fukushima [8] and Auchmuty [1] and its merit function is called the regularized gap function. For $\alpha > 0$, let

$$\phi(a, b) := ab + (1/2\alpha) [\max^2\{0, a - \alpha b\} - a^2].$$

Then the regularized gap function associated to NCP function at \bar{x} is

$$\Psi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$$

where

$$\Phi_i(x) = \phi(x_i, f_i(x)) := x_i f_i(x) + (1/2\alpha) [\max^2\{0, x_i - \alpha f_i(x)\} - x_i^2]. \quad (14)$$

In previous example, we describe the H -differential of implicit Lagrangian. A similar analysis can be carried out for NCP function $\Phi(\bar{x})$ in (14) and its merit function $\Psi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$.

$\Psi(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable with an H -differential $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$ consisting of all vectors of the form $v^T A + w^T$ with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w are columns vectors with entries defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= \bar{x}_i + \max\{0, \bar{x}_i - \alpha f_i(\bar{x})\} \\ w_i &= f_i(\bar{x}) + (1/\alpha) [\max\{0, \bar{x}_i - \alpha f_i(\bar{x})\} - \bar{x}_i]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Example 7 The following NCP function was proposed by Solodov [25]

$$\phi(a, b) := a \max^2\{0, b\} - \max^2\{0, -b\}.$$

Then the merit function associated to NCP function at \bar{x} is

$$\Psi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$$

where

$$\Phi_i(x) = \phi(x_i, f_i(x)) := x_i \max^2\{0, f_i(x)\} + \max^2\{0, -f_i(x)\}. \quad (16)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $\Psi(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable with an H -differential $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$ consisting of all vectors of the form $v^T A + w^T$ with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w are columns vectors with entries defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= 2\bar{x}_i \max\{0, f_i(\bar{x})\} - 2\max\{0, -f_i(\bar{x})\} \\ w_i &= \max^2\{0, f_i(\bar{x})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Example 8 Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ has an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$ at $\bar{x} \in R^n$. Consider the associated NCP function [31]

$$\phi(a, b) := \max\{0, a\} \max^3\{0, b\} + (1/2)[a + b - \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}]^2.$$

Then the merit function associated to NCP function at \bar{x} is

$$\Psi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i(x) &= \phi(x_i, f_i(x)) \\ &:= \max\{0, x_i\} \max^3\{0, f_i(x)\} + (1/2) \left[x_i + f_i(x) - \sqrt{x_i^2 + f_i(x)^2} \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Let

$$J(\bar{x}) = \{i : f_i(\bar{x}) = 0 = \bar{x}_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad K(\bar{x}) = \{i : \bar{x}_i > 0, f_i(\bar{x}) > 0\}.$$

We can describe the H -differential of Φ in a way similar to the calculation and analysis of Examples 5-7 in [29]. The H -differential of Φ is given by

$$T_{\Phi}(\bar{x}) = \{VA + W : (A, V, W, d) \in \Gamma\},$$

where Γ is the set of all quadruples (A, V, W, d) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, $\|d\| = 1$, $V = \text{diag}(v_i)$ and $W = \text{diag}(w_i)$ are diagonal matrices with

$$v_i = \begin{cases} \left[\bar{x}_i + f_i(\bar{x}) - \sqrt{\bar{x}_i^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{f_i(\bar{x})}{\sqrt{\bar{x}_i^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2}} \right) + 3\bar{x}_i f_i(\bar{x})^2 & \text{when } i \in K(\bar{x}) \\ \left[d_i + A_i d - \sqrt{d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{A_i d}{\sqrt{d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2}} \right) & \text{when } i \in J(\bar{x}) \text{ and } d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2 > 0 \\ \left[\bar{x}_i + f_i(\bar{x}) - \sqrt{\bar{x}_i^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{f_i(\bar{x})}{\sqrt{\bar{x}_i^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2}} \right) & \text{when } i \notin J(\bar{x}) \cup K(\bar{x}) \\ \text{arbitrary} & \text{when } i \in J(\bar{x}) \text{ and } d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$w_i = \begin{cases} \left[\bar{x}_i + f_i(\bar{x}) - \sqrt{\bar{x}^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{\bar{x}_i}{\sqrt{\bar{x}_i^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2}} \right) + f_i(\bar{x})^3 & \text{when } i \in K(\bar{x}) \\ \left[d_i + A_i d - \sqrt{d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{d_i}{\sqrt{d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2}} \right) & \text{when } i \in J(\bar{x}) \text{ and } d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2 > 0 \\ \left[\bar{x}_i + f_i(\bar{x}) - \sqrt{\bar{x}^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{\bar{x}_i}{\sqrt{\bar{x}_i^2 + f_i(\bar{x})^2}} \right) & \text{when } i \notin J(\bar{x}) \cup K(\bar{x}) \\ \text{arbitrary} & \text{when } i \in J(\bar{x}) \text{ and } d_i^2 + (A_i d)^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 2, the H -differential $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$ of $\Psi(\bar{x})$ consists of all vectors of the form $v^T A + w^T$ with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w are columns vectors with entries defined by (19).

5 Minimizing the merit function

For a given H -differentiable function $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$, consider the associated NCP function Φ and the corresponding merit function $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$. It should be recalled that

$$\Psi(\bar{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \bar{x} \text{ solves NCP}(f).$$

Assume that Ψ is H -differentiable with an H -differential $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$ and Φ is nonnegative H -differentiable with an H -differential $T_\Phi(\bar{x})$ is given by

$$T_\Phi(\bar{x}) = \{VA + W : A \in T(\bar{x}), V = \text{diag}(v_i) \text{ and } W = \text{diag}(w_i)\} \quad (20)$$

where Φ , V and W satisfy the following properties:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \bar{x} \text{ solves NCP}(f) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0. \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \text{For } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, v_i w_i \geq 0. \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \text{For } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \Phi_i(\bar{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (v_i, w_i) = (0, 0). \\ \text{(iv)} \quad \text{For } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \bar{x}_i \geq 0 \text{ and } f(\bar{x}_i) \geq 0, \text{ we have } v_i \geq 0. \\ \text{(v)} \quad \text{If } 0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}), \text{ then } \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v = 0. \end{array} \right\} \quad (21)$$

Remarks We note that the NCP function of Example 5 satisfies the properties (i)-(v) in (21) and is known as unrestricted NCP and its merit function unrestricted implicit Lagrangian function. While the NCP functions in Examples 6-8 are called restricted NCP

function because they are nonnegative and satisfy properties (i)-(v) in (21) over the non-negative orthant R_+^n , i.e., for restricted NCP function, the properties in (21) will be

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \bar{x} \text{ solves NCP}(f) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0. \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \text{For } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, v_i w_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } \bar{x}_i \geq 0. \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \text{For } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \Phi_i(\bar{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (v_i, w_i) = (0, 0) \text{ with } \bar{x}_i \geq 0. \\ \text{(iv)} \quad \text{For } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \bar{x}_i \geq 0 \text{ and } f(\bar{x}_i) \geq 0, \text{ we have } v_i \geq 0. \\ \text{(v)} \quad \text{If } 0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}), \text{ then } \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v = 0 \text{ with } \bar{x}_i \geq 0. \end{array} \right\} \quad (22)$$

In the following subsections, starting with an H -differentiable function f , we show that under appropriate conditions, a vector \bar{x} is a solution of the NCP(f) if and only if zero belongs $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$.

5.1 Minimizing the merit function under P_0 -conditions

Theorem 3 *Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is an NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by*

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (iii) and (v) in (21), and $v_i w_i > 0$ whenever $\Phi_i(\bar{x}) \neq 0$.

Further suppose that $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{P}_0 -matrices. Then

$$0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Then by property (iii) in (21) and the description of $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$, we have $T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{0\}$. Conversely, suppose that $0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$, so that for some $v^T A + w^T \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$,

$$0 = v^T A + w^T$$

yielding $A^T v + w = 0$. Note that for any index i , $\Phi_i(\bar{x}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow v_i \neq 0$ (by property (v) in (21) and $v_i w_i > 0$ when $\Phi_i(\bar{x}) \neq 0$) in which case $v_i (A^T v)_i = -v_i w_i < 0$ contradicting the \mathbf{P}_0 -property of A . We conclude that $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. \square

Remarks Theorem 3 is applicable to the following NCP functions:

- $\Phi(x) = \Phi_F(x) = x + f(x) - \sqrt{x^2 + f(x)^2}$. (Clarification Example 5 in [29])
- $\Phi(x) = x + f(x) - \sqrt{(x - f(x))^2 + \lambda x f(x)}$. (Clarification Example 6 in [29])
- $\Phi(x) = \lambda \Phi_F(x) + (1 - \lambda)x_+ f(x)_+$. (Clarification Example 7 in [29])

The following are consequences of the above theorems, we state the results for Fischer-Burmeister function for simplicity. However, it is possible to state a general result for any NCP function.

Corollary 1 *Let $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be differentiable and $\Phi(x)$ be the Fischer-Burmeister function and $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$. If f is \mathbf{P}_0 -function, then \bar{x} is a local minimizer to Ψ if and only if \bar{x} solves NCP(f).*

Remarks

When f is C^1 (in which case we can let $T(\bar{x}) = \{\nabla f(\bar{x})\}$), the above result reduces to Prop. 3.4 in [5]. Also in view of Example 3, if f is locally Lipschitzian with $T(\bar{x}) = \partial f(\bar{x})$, the above theorem reduces to a result by Fischer [7]. Moreover, our result extend/generalize a result obtained by Geiger and Kanzow [9] under monotonicity of a C^1 function and by Jiang [15] under uniform \mathbf{P} -property of a directionally differentiable function .

Corollary 2 *Let $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be locally Lipschitzian. Let Φ be the Fischer-Burmeister function and $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i$. Further suppose that $\partial f(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{P}_0 -matrices.*

Then

$$0 \in \partial\Psi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Psi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof has been established by Fischer [7]. In fact, by taking $T_f(x) = \partial f(x)$ in Theorem 3 and noting $\partial\Psi(x) \subseteq T_\Psi(x)$ for all x , we have the proof. \square

5.2 Minimizing the merit function under \mathbf{P}_0^+ -conditions

Theorem 4 *Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by*

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (iii) and (v) in (21), and

$$\text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \bar{x}_i > 0 \text{ and } f(\bar{x}_i) > 0, \text{ we have } v_i > 0, w_i > 0.$$

Further suppose that \bar{x} is a strictly feasible point of NCP(f) and $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{P}_0^+ -matrices. Then

$$0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose $0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$. Then $v^T A + w^T = 0 \Rightarrow A^T v + w = 0$. We claim that $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Suppose, if possible, $\Phi(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. Then by property (v) in (21), $v \neq 0$. Since \bar{x} is a strictly feasible point to NCP(f), we have $v > 0$, $w > 0$.

Since $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{P}_0^+ -matrices and $A \in T(\bar{x})$, there exists an index i such that $0 \neq \Phi_i$, $0 \neq v_i > 0$ and $0 \leq v_i(Av)_i$. By the fact, $v_i w_i > 0$, we have $0 \leq v_i(Av)_i = -v_i w_i < 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Conversely, suppose $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Then by property (iii) in (21) and the description of $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$, we have $T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{0\}$. \square

Remarks

- We note that Theorem 4 is applicable to the NCP functions of Examples 7 and 8.
- If we assume the continuous differentiability of f in the above theorem, we get Corollary 3.2 in [25].

A slight modification of the above theorem leads to the following result.

Theorem 5 *Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by*

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (iii), (iv), and (v) in (21).

Further suppose that \bar{x} is a feasible point of NCP(f) and $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{P}^+ -matrices. Then

$$0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4. \square

5.3 Minimizing the merit function under P -conditions

Theorem 6 *Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by*

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (ii), (iii), and (v) in (21).

Further suppose that $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{P} -matrices. Then

$$0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. To see this, suppose $0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$. Then $v^T A + w^T = 0 \Rightarrow A^T v + w = 0$. We claim that $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Suppose, if possible, $\Phi(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. Then by property (v) in (21), $v \neq 0$. Since $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{P} -matrices and $A \in T(\bar{x})$, there exists an index i such that $v_i \neq 0$ and

$0 < v_i(Av)_i$. By property (ii) in (21), $v_i w_i \geq 0$. But $0 < v_i(Av)_i = -v_i w_i \leq 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Conversely, suppose $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Then by property (iii) in (21) and the description of $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$, we have $T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{0\}$. \square

Remark Theorem 6 is applicable to the NCP functions in Examples 5-8.

5.4 Minimizing the merit function under positive-definite-conditions

Theorem 7 Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (ii), (iii), and (v) in (21).

Further suppose that $T(\bar{x})$ consists of positive-definite matrices. Then

$$0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Then by property (iii) in (21) and the description of $T_\Psi(\bar{x})$, we have $T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{0\}$. Conversely, suppose $0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$. Then $v^T A + w^T = 0 \Rightarrow A^T v + w = 0$. We claim that $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Suppose, if possible, $\Phi(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. Then by property (v) in (21), $v \neq 0$. Since $T(\bar{x})$ consists of positive definite matrices and $A \in T(\bar{x})$,

$0 < \langle v, Av \rangle$. By property (ii) in (21), $\langle v, w \rangle \geq 0$. But $0 < \langle v, Av \rangle = -\langle v, w \rangle \leq 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. \square

Remarks

- We note that Theorem 7 is applicable to the NCP function of Examples 5.
- Since every positive definite matrix is also a \mathbf{P} -matrix, the proof of Theorem 7 follows from Theorem 6. However, we gave a general proof of Theorem 7.

5.5 Minimizing the merit function under strictly semi-monotone (E)-conditions

Theorem 8 Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (iii), (iv) and (v) in (21).

Further suppose that \bar{x} is a feasible point of $NCP(f)$ and $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{E} -matrices. Then

$$0 \in T_{\Psi}(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose $0 \in T_{\Psi}(\bar{x})$. Then $v^T A + w^T = 0 \Rightarrow A^T v + w = 0$. We claim that $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Suppose, if possible, $\Phi(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. Then by property (v) in (21), $v \neq 0$. Since \bar{x} is a feasible point to $NCP(f)$, by property (iv) in (21), we have $v \geq 0$.

Since $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{E} -matrices and $A \in T(\bar{x})$, there exists an index i such that $0 < v_i(Av)_i$. By property (ii) in (21), $v_i w_i \geq 0$. But $0 < v_i(Av)_i = -v_i w_i \leq 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Conversely, suppose $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Then by property (iii) in (21) and the description of $T_{\Psi}(\bar{x})$, we have $T_{\Psi}(\bar{x}) = \{0\}$. \square

Remark Theorem 8 is applicable to NCP functions of Examples 5-8.

A slight modification of the above theorem leads to the following result.

Theorem 9 Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by

$$T_{\Psi}(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (iii) and (v) in (21), and

$$\text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \bar{x}_i > 0 \text{ and } f(\bar{x}_i) > 0, \text{ we have } v_i > 0, w_i > .$$

Further suppose that \bar{x} is a strictly feasible point of $NCP(f)$ and $T(\bar{x})$ consists of \mathbf{E}_0 -matrices. Then

$$0 \in T_{\Psi}(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 8. \square

Remark Theorem 9 is applicable to NCP functions of Examples 7 and 8.

5.6 Minimizing the merit function under regularity (strict regularity) conditions

We generalize the concept of a regular (strictly regular) point [4] in order to weaken the hypotheses in the previous Theorems.

For a given H -differentiable function f and $\bar{x} \in R^n$, we define the following index sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\bar{x}) &:= \{i : v_i > 0\}, & \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}) &:= \{i : v_i < 0\}, \\ \mathcal{C}(\bar{x}) &:= \{i : v_i = 0\}, & \mathcal{R}(\bar{x}) &:= \mathcal{P}(\bar{x}) \cup \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

where v_i are the entries of V in (20) (e.g., v_i is defined in Examples 5-8).

Definition 5 Consider f , Φ , and Ψ as above. A vector $x^* \in R^n$ is called strictly regular if, for every nonzero vector $z \in R^n$ such that

$$z_C = 0, \quad z_P > 0, \quad z_N < 0, \quad (23)$$

there exists a vector $s \in R^n$ such that

$$s_P \geq 0, \quad s_N \leq 0, \quad s_C = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (24)$$

$$s^T A^T z > 0 \quad \text{for all } A \in T(x^*). \quad (25)$$

Theorem 10 Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (ii), (iii), and (v) in (21).

Then $0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$ and \bar{x} is a strictly regular point if and only if \bar{x} solves NCP(f).

Proof. Suppose that $0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$ and \bar{x} is a strictly regular point. Then for some $v^T A + w^T \in T_\Psi(\bar{x})$,

$$0 = v^T A + w^T \Rightarrow A^T v + w = 0. \quad (26)$$

We claim that $\Phi(\bar{x}) = 0$. Assume the contrary that \bar{x} is not a solution of NCP(f). Then by property (v) in (21), we have v as a nonzero vector satisfying $v_C = 0$, $v_P > 0$, $v_N < 0$. Since \bar{x} is a strictly regular point, and $v_i w_i \geq 0$ by property (ii) in (21), by taking a vector $s \in R^n$ satisfying (24) and (25), we have

$$s^T A^T v > 0 \quad (27)$$

and

$$s^T w = s_C^T w_C + s_P^T w_P + s_N^T w_N \geq 0. \quad (28)$$

Thus we have $s^T (A^T v + w) = s^T A^T v + s^T w > 0$. We reach a contradiction to (26). Hence, \bar{x} is a solution of NCP(f).

The ‘if’ part of the theorem follows easily from the definitions. \square

Remark Another proof of Theorem 7 can be obtained by taking $s = z$ in Definition 5 of a strictly regular point and by using Theorem 10.

Before we state the next theorem, we recall a definition from [27].

Definition 6 Consider a nonempty set \mathcal{C} in $R^{n \times n}$. We say that a matrix A is a row representative of \mathcal{C} if for each index $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the i th row of A is the i th row of some matrix $C \in \mathcal{C}$. We say that \mathcal{C} has the row- \mathbf{P}_0 -property (row- \mathbf{P} -property) if every row representative of \mathcal{C} is a \mathbf{P}_0 -matrix (\mathbf{P} -matrix). We say that \mathcal{C} has the column- \mathbf{P}_0 -property (column- \mathbf{P} -property) if $\mathcal{C}^T = \{A^T : A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ has the row- \mathbf{P}_0 -property (row- \mathbf{P} -property).

Theorem 11 Suppose $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential $T(\bar{x})$. Suppose Φ is a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$ is H -differentiable at \bar{x} with an H -differential given by

$$T_\Psi(\bar{x}) = \{v^T A + w^T : (A, v, w) \in \Omega\}$$

where Ω is the set all triples (A, v, w) with $A \in T(\bar{x})$, v and w vectors in R^n satisfying properties (ii), (iii), and (v) in (21).

Further suppose that $T(\bar{x})$ has the column- \mathbf{P} -property. Then

$$0 \in T_\Psi(\bar{x}) \text{ if and only if } \bar{x} \text{ solves NCP}(f).$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 10, it is enough to show \bar{x} is a strictly regular point. To see this, let v be a nonzero vector satisfying (23). Since $T(\bar{x})$ has the column- \mathbf{P} -property, by Theorem 2 in [27], there exists an index j such that $v_j [A^T v]_j > 0 \quad \forall A \in T(\bar{x})$. Choose $s \in R^n$ so that $s_j = v_j$ and $s_i = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Then $s^T A^T v = v_j [A^T v]_j > 0 \quad \forall A \in T(\bar{x})$. Hence \bar{x} is a strictly regular point. \square

As a consequence of the above theorem is the following corollary.

Corollary 3 Let $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be locally Lipschitzian. Let Φ be a nonnegative NCP function of f . Assume that $\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$. Further suppose that $\partial_B f(\bar{x})$ has the column- \mathbf{P}_0 -property. Then

$$0 \in \partial \Psi(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow \Psi(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

Proof. Note that by Corollary 1 in [29], every matrix in $\partial f(\bar{x}) = co \partial_B f(\bar{x})$ is a \mathbf{P}_0 -matrix. Now by Corollary 2, we have the claim. \square

Remarks

- Theorem 10 is applicable to the NCP functions of Examples 5-8.
- Corollary 3 might be useful when the function f is piecewise smooth in which case $\partial_B f(\bar{x})$ consists of a finite number of matrices.

Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we described the H -differential of the so called restricted and unrestricted implicit Lagrangian functions. Also, we considered a nonlinear complementarity

problem corresponding to an H -differentiable function, with an associated NCP function Φ and a merit function $\Psi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x})$, we described conditions under which every global/local minimum or a stationary point of Ψ is a solution of $\text{NCP}(f)$.

Our results recover/extend various well known results stated for continuously differentiable (locally Lipschitzian, semismooth, C -differentiable) functions.

We note here that similar methodologies under H -differentiability can be carried out for other merit functions such as Luo-Tseng function [17]. We can consider the NCP function [17]:

$$\Phi(x) := \phi_0(x^T f(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(-f_i(x), -x_i),$$

where $\phi_0 : R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n : R^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions that are zero on the nonpositive orthant only. By defining the merit function

$$\Psi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\bar{x}) \quad \text{or/and,} \quad \Psi(\bar{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \|\Phi\|^2.$$

Acknowledgments

The first author is indebted to Professor M. Seetharama Gowda for his helpful suggestions. This research is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, operating grant 4152-00 and strategic project 224116-99, and by a team grant from the FCAR of Quebec.

References

- [1] G. Auchmuty, "Variational Principles for Variational Inequalities", Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, Vol. 10, pp. 863-874, 1989.
- [2] F.H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1983 (reprinted by SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1990).
- [3] R.W. Cottle, J.-S. Pang and R.E. Stone, *The Linear Complementarity Problem*, Academic Press, Boston, 1992.
- [4] F. Facchinei and C. Kanzow, "On Unconstrained and Constrained Stationary Points of the Implicit Lagrangian", Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 92, pp. 99-115, 1997.
- [5] F. Facchinei and J. Soares, "A New Merit Function for Nonlinear Complementarity Problems and Related Algorithm", SIAM Journal on Optimization, Vol. 7, pp. 225-247, 1997.
- [6] A. Fischer, "A New Constrained Optimization Reformulation for Complementarity Problems", Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 97, pp. 105-117, 1998.

- [7] A. Fischer, "Solution of Monotone Complementarity Problems with Locally Lipschitzian Functions", *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 76, pp. 513-532, 1997.
- [8] M. Fukushima, "Equivalent Differentiable Optimization Problems and Descent Methods for Asymmetric Variational Inequality Problems", *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 53, pp. 99-110, 1992.
- [9] C. Geiger and C. Kanzow, "On the Resolution of Monotone Complementarity Problems", *Computational Optimization*, Vol. 5, pp. 155-173, 1996.
- [10] M.S. Gowda, "A Note on H -Differentiable Functions", Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland 21250, November, 1998.
- [11] M.S. Gowda, "Inverse and Implicit Function Theorems for H -Differentiable and Semismooth Functions", Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland 21250, November, 2000.
- [12] M.S. Gowda and G. Ravindran, "Algebraic Univalence Theorems for Nonsmooth Functions", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 252, pp. 917-935, 2000.
- [13] P.T. Harker and J.-S. Pang, "Finite Dimension Variational Inequality and Nonlinear Complementarity Problems: A Survey of Theory, Algorithms and Applications", *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 48, pp. 161-220, 1990.
- [14] V. Jeyakumar and D.T. Luc, "Approximate Jacobians Matrices for Nonsmooth Continuous Maps and C^1 -Optimization", *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, Vol. 36, pp. 1815-1832, 1998.
- [15] H. Jiang, "Unconstrained Minimization Approaches to Nonlinear Complementarity Problems", *Journal of Global Optimization*, Vol. 9, pp. 169-181, 1996.
- [16] C. Kanzow, "Nonlinear Complementarity as Unconstrained Optimization", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 88, pp. 139-155, 1996.
- [17] Z.Q. Luo and P. Tseng, "A New Class of Merit Functions for the Nonlinear Complementarity Problem", *Complementarity and Variational Problems: State of the Art*, Edited by M.C. Ferris and J.S. Pang, SIAM, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, pp. 204-225, 1997.
- [18] O.L. Mangasarian and M.V. Solodov, "Nonlinear Complementarity as Unconstrained and Constrained Minimization", *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 62, pp. 277-297, 1993.
- [19] R. Mifflin, "Semismooth and Semiconvex Functions in Constrained Optimization", *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, Vol. 15, pp. 952-972, 1977.
- [20] J.J. Moré and W.C. Rheinboldt, "On P- and S- Functions and Related Classes of N-Dimensional Nonlinear Mappings", *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 6, pp.45-68, 1973.

- [21] L. Qi, "Convergence Analysis of Some Algorithms for Solving Nonsmooth Equations", *Mathematics of Operations Research*, Vol. 18, pp. 227-244, 1993.
- [22] L. Qi, "C-Differentiability, C-Differential Operators and Generalized Newton Methods", Research Report, School of Mathematics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia, January 1996.
- [23] L. Qi and J. Sun, "A Nonsmooth Version of Newton's Method", *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 58, pp. 353-367, 1993.
- [24] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J.-B. Wets, *Variational Analysis*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 317, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1998.
- [25] M.V. Solodov, "On Stationary Points of Bound-Constrained Minimization Reformulations of Complementarity Problems", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 94, pp. 449-467, 1997.
- [26] Y. Song, M.S. Gowda and G. Ravindran, "On Characterizations of \mathbf{P} - and \mathbf{P}_0 -Properties in Nonsmooth Functions", *Mathematics of Operations Research*, Vol. 25, pp. 400-408, 2000.
- [27] Y. Song, M.S. Gowda and G. Ravindran, "On Some Properties of \mathbf{P} -matrix Sets", *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 290, pp.246-273, 1999.
- [28] M.A. Tawhid, "On the Local Uniqueness of Solutions of Variational Inequalities under H -Differentiability", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 113, pp. 149-164, 2002.
- [29] M.A. Tawhid and M.S. Gowda, "On Two Applications of H -Differentiability to Optimization and Complementarity Problems", *Computational Optimization and Applications*, Vol. 17, pp. 279-299, 2000.
- [30] P. Tseng, N. Yamashita, and M. Fukushima, "Equivalence of Complementarity Problems to Differentiable Minimization: A Unified Approach", *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, Vol. 6, pp. 446-460, 1996.
- [31] N. Yamashita, "Properties of Restricted NCP Functions for Nonlinear Complementarity Problems", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 98, pp. 701-717, 1998.
- [32] N. Yamashita and M. Fukushima, "On Stationary Points of the Implicit Lagrangian for Nonlinear Complementarity Problems", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 84, pp. 653-663, 1995.