

**The RW index: A new distance measure
based on random walks**

E. Camby, G. Caporossi,
M.H.M. Paiva, M.E.V. Segatto

G-2016-83

October 2016

La collection *Les Cahiers du GERAD* est constituée des travaux de recherche menés par nos membres. La plupart de ces documents de travail a été soumis à des revues avec comité de révision. Lorsqu'un document est accepté et publié, le pdf original est retiré si c'est nécessaire et un lien vers l'article publié est ajouté.

The series *Les Cahiers du GERAD* consists of working papers carried out by our members. Most of these pre-prints have been submitted to peer-reviewed journals. When accepted and published, if necessary, the original pdf is removed and a link to the published article is added.

CITATION ORIGINALE / ORIGINAL CITATION

Camby, Eglantine; Caporossi, Gilles; Paiva, Marcia H.M.; Segatto, Marcelo E.V., Expected distance based on random walks, *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 56(2), 618-629, 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2016.09.040>.

La publication de ces rapports de recherche est rendue possible grâce au soutien de HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, Université McGill, Université du Québec à Montréal, ainsi que du Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

The publication of these research reports is made possible thanks to the support of HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, McGill University, Université du Québec à Montréal, as well as the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

Dépôt légal – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2018
– Bibliothèque et Archives Canada, 2018

Legal deposit – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2018
– Library and Archives Canada, 2018

GERAD HEC Montréal
3000, chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine
Montréal (Québec) Canada H3T 2A7

Tél. : 514 340-6053
Télec. : 514 340-5665
info@gerad.ca
www.gerad.ca

The RW index: A new distance measure based on random walks

Eglantine Camby^{a, b}

Gilles Caporossi^b

Marcia H. M. Paiva^c

Marcelo E. V. Segatto^c

^a *Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgique*

^b *GERAD & HEC Montréal, Montréal (Québec), Canada*

^c *Federal University of Espírito Santo, Vitória (Espírito Santo), Brazil*

ecamby@ulb.ac.be

gilles.caporossi@gerad.ca

marcia.paiva@ufes.br

segatto@ele.ufes.br

October 2016

Les Cahiers du GERAD

G–2016–83

Copyright © 2016 GERAD

Abstract: Considering a graph as a network of resistances, Klein and Randić [3] proposed the definition of a distance measure. Indeed, if each edge of the graph represents a resistance of 1Ω , the equivalent resistance of the graph between each pair of vertices may be used as a distance. Based upon random walks in graphs, Stephenson and Zelen [4] built a computational model to find the probability that each edge is used. From a mathematical point of view, both articles are based upon exactly the same model and the link between random walks and the electrical representation was established by Newman [5] when defining an alternative to Freeman’s betweenness centrality [9, 10] based upon random walks.

In the present paper, the similitude between these two processes is exploited to propose a new random walks based distance measure that may be defined as the expected length of a walk between any pair of vertices. From this new definition, the RW Index is proposed that sums the expected walks lengths between pairs of vertices exactly in the same way as the Wiener index sums the shortest paths distances or the Kirchhoff index sums the equivalent resistances. In the same way as the Wiener index [6], vertex and edge decompositions of the RW and Kirchhoff indices are proposed. Interestingly, we show that even if the random walks and the resistance distances are based upon a similar mathematical model, they are not equivalent.

Keywords: Graph theory, topological indices, Wiener index, Kirchhoff index, distance, random walks

Acknowledgments: This work was partially supported by a travel grant from the F.R.S.-FNRS, by a post-doc grant “Bourse d’Excellence WBI.WORLD” from Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles (Belgium) and also by NSERC, HEC Montréal (Canada), CAPES, and CNPq (Brazil).

Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph without loops or multiple edges on $n = |V|$ vertices and $m = |E|$ edges. All basic definitions can be found in [1]. A graph G is said *connected* if there exists a sequence of edges joining any pair of vertices, i.e. there exists a geodesic path between any pair of vertices. Connected graphs with minimum number of edges are called *trees*. Then, a tree on n vertices has $n - 1$ edges. We consider in this paper only connected graphs.

The Wiener index [2], likely the oldest and most popular topological index, was originally defined for trees as:

$$\sum_{(s,t) \in E} n_s \times n_t, \quad (1)$$

where n_s is the number of vertices of the connected component containing s if the edge (s, t) was removed, and similarly for n_t . In trees, the Wiener index corresponds to the sum of distances between pairs of vertices in G :

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in V} \sum_{t \in V} d_{st} = \sum_{s < t \in V} d_{st}, \quad (2)$$

where d_{st} denotes the distance between s and t , i.e. the number of edges in a geodesic path joining s and t . The definition given in (2) easily extends to general graphs and is commonly used as the definition of the Wiener index.

The concept of distance is a key feature in the design of molecular descriptors. However, the distance based upon the geodesic paths has only integer values and inevitably has the same value for a large number of pairs of vertices. To overcome this weakness, Klein and Randić [3] proposed an alternative way to define the distance between pairs of vertices. Considering the graph as a network of resistors and each edge being associated to a resistor of 1Ω , they proposed to consider the equivalent resistance r_{st} between s and t as the definition of the distance between s and t . Then, analogously to the Wiener index, the sum of the equivalent resistances among all pairs of vertices corresponds to the Kirchhoff index. In practice, these equivalent resistances can be computed from the flow conservation used for electric circuits. The latter could be described as a set of equations characterized by the Laplacian matrix $L = D - \mathcal{A}$ where D is a diagonal matrix of degrees whereas \mathcal{A} is the adjacency matrix.

Studying random walks in social networks, Stephenson and Zelen [4] also used a matrix that turns out to be related to the Laplacian matrix. The analogy between random walks and electric circuits was later demonstrated formally by Newman [5]. Indeed, considering a unit flow of 1 ampere (1A) between two vertices s and t , the intensity on a given edge e of the network corresponds to the probability that e is used by a random walk from s to t .

In this paper, we introduce a new index based on Random Walks, called RW index. Instead of only considering the shortest paths between pairs of vertices as the Wiener index does, we consider the expected length of a path based upon the so-defined probabilities in random walks.

In Section 1, we present required background on random walks. In Section 2, we define the expected distance and the RW index while we compare the latter to the Kirchhoff and the Wiener indices in Section 3. In Section 4, we propound a decomposition of the RW index based upon edge or vertex centrality measures and the relation with a similar decomposition of the Wiener [6] and Kirchhoff indices. The values of the RW index for some families of graphs, conjectures on their bounds and partial results are presented in Section 5 while Section 6 concludes.

1 Background

As explained in the Introduction, the Wiener index of a graph G is usually defined by the sum of distances between pairs of vertices:

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in V} \sum_{t \in V} d_{st} = \sum_{s < t \in V} d_{st} \quad (3)$$

This index gives an indication on how pairs of vertices are close. Another similar index is the Kirchhoff index. Before defining this index, we give some basic tools.

The mathematical aspects involved in the present paper are related to the use of a graph as representation of an electrical circuit. Suppose a graph G that represents a network of resistors, each edge corresponding to a unit resistor. If an electrical flow of 1A is sent through the network from vertex s to vertex t , this flow will be distributed among the circuit in a way that respects both the flow conservation equations and the electrical properties of the resistors. Indeed, according to the flow conservation rule, the sum of flows from s is 1 as well as the sum of flows to t and the net flow, i.e. the difference between inflow and outflow, associated to each other vertex is always 0. These rules are described by the following equations, where i_{st}^{uv} is the intensity of the flow from u to v when s is the source and t is the sink:

$$\sum_{(s,k) \in E} i_{st}^{sk} = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{(t,k) \in E} i_{st}^{tk} = -1 \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{(q,k) \in E} i_{st}^{jk} = 0 \quad \forall q \in \{1 \dots n\} \setminus \{s, t\} \quad (6)$$

The physical representation of the resistor is such that if an intensity i_{st}^{uv} flows through the edge (u, v) from u to v , the potentials $d_{st}^{(u)}$ and $d_{st}^{(v)}$ in these vertices will respect the following general equation:

$$\text{Potential Difference} = \text{Resistance} \times \text{Intensity}. \quad (7)$$

In our case, with the appropriated notation, it means that

$$d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)} = i_{st}^{uv}, \quad (8)$$

since the edge (u, v) has a resistance of 1Ω . A consequence is that

$$-1 \leq d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)} \leq 1 \quad \forall (u, v) \in E. \quad (9)$$

The potential $d_{st}^{(u)}$ associated to each vertex u could be computed by the resolution of the system of Equations (4)–(6) and (8). Actually, this system of equations are represented by a matrix equation, where appears the Laplacian matrix L :

$$LV = S, \quad (10)$$

where $V = \{d_{st}^{(v)}\}$ is the vector of potentials and S is the net flow vector. Accordingly, S has 0 entries at each position except s and t where they are 1 and -1 respectively. The problem of computing the flow on each edge consists in finding the potential vector V in (10). From a technical point of view, since only differences between potentials are considered, adding a constant to each potential will not affect the realizability of the system, which has actually an infinity of solutions. Indeed, 0 is always an eigenvalue of L and an associated eigenvector is the vector V_1 whose all of its components are 1. Accordingly, the matrix L is not invertible. A way to handle this problem and to find a solution in (10) is to consider a similar system by adding 1 to each entry of L , as used by Klein and Randić [3]. Other methods can be used [7, 5] to solve (10). The obtained system will then have a unique solution that will also be a solution to the original problem, since the sum of the lines of matrices L and S are both equal to 0.

From a physical point of view, the equivalent resistance r_{st} between s and t is obtained by (7):

$$d_{st}^{(s)} - d_{st}^{(t)} = r_{st} \times i_{st} = r_{st} \quad (11)$$

since the global intensity i_{st} from s to t is 1A.

Now, since we have all tools, we can define the Kirchhoff index [3]: it is the sum of equivalent resistances among all pairs of vertices in a graph G , i.e.

$$Kf(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in V} \sum_{t \in V} r_{st} = \sum_{s < t \in V} r_{st}. \quad (12)$$

Notice that the equivalent resistance may also be computed using the power dissipation law, which is described by the following general equation:

$$\text{Dissipated Power} = \text{Potential Difference} \times \text{Intensity.} \quad (13)$$

The dissipated power P_{st}^{uv} on an edge (u, v) between s and t is rewritten:

$$P_{st}^{uv} = (d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)}) \times i_{st}^{uv} \stackrel{\text{by (8)}}{=} (d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)})^2. \quad (14)$$

Since the global dissipated power P_{st} between s and t is the sum of dissipated powers on each edge, this global dissipated power is then

$$P_{st} = \sum_{(u,v) \in E} (d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)})^2. \quad (15)$$

From a high point of view, the latter is also, by (13),

$$P_{st} = (d_{st}^{(s)} - d_{st}^{(t)}) \times i_{st} \stackrel{i_{st} \stackrel{\text{by (11)}}{=} r_{st}}{=} r_{st}, \quad (16)$$

thus the equivalent resistance r_{st} between s and t is defined by $d_{st}^{(s)} - d_{st}^{(t)}$, as well as

$$\sum_{(u,v) \in E} (d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)})^2.$$

2 The expected distance and the RW index

Based upon the same model as the electric one, when viewing the network from the random walks point of view, we define *the expected length* \tilde{d}_{st} of the walk from s to t as the sum of the weighted lengths of paths from s to t . Observe that the weight of a path is its probability, i.e. the absolute value of its intensity. Moreover, the expected length \tilde{d}_{st} can be decomposed by the edges, i.e.

$$\tilde{d}_{st} = \sum_{(u,v) \in E} |d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)}|. \quad (17)$$

As expected, the expected distance is actually a distance, as established in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. The expected distance is a distance on V .*

Proof. By definition in (17), the expected distance is well-defined. Reversing the source and the sink in a flow is imposed to take opposite potentials. Since the expected distance is defined by means of the absolute value of difference of potentials, it is symmetric. Separating distance is ensured because the only case where all potentials are equal is when the source are the sink.

The last property to check is the triangle inequality. Let s, r, t be three vertices in G . We need to prove that $\tilde{d}_{st} \leq \tilde{d}_{sr} + \tilde{d}_{rt}$. By the electric property, we know that if f_1 , respectively f_2 , is a flow through the network G from s to r with potentials $d_{sr}^{(\cdot)}$ on V , respectively from r to t with potentials $d_{rt}^{(\cdot)}$, then $f = f_1 + f_2$ is a flow from s to t with potentials

$$d_{st}^{(\cdot)} = d_{sr}^{(\cdot)} + d_{rt}^{(\cdot)}. \quad (18)$$

Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{st} &= \sum_{(u,v) \in E} |d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)}| \\ &\stackrel{(18)}{=} \sum_{(u,v) \in E} |(d_{sr}^{(u)} + d_{rt}^{(u)}) - (d_{sr}^{(v)} + d_{rt}^{(v)})| \\ &= \sum_{(u,v) \in E} |(d_{sr}^{(u)} - d_{sr}^{(v)}) + (d_{rt}^{(u)} - d_{rt}^{(v)})| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{(u,v) \in E} |d_{sr}^{(u)} - d_{sr}^{(v)}| + \sum_{(u,v) \in E} |d_{rt}^{(u)} - d_{rt}^{(v)}| \\ &= \tilde{d}_{sr} + \tilde{d}_{rt}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Similarly to the Wiener and the Kirchhoff indices, we define the RW index of a graph G as:

$$RW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in V} \sum_{t \in V} \tilde{d}_{st} = \sum_{s < t \in V} \tilde{d}_{st}. \quad (19)$$

3 Comparison of the Wiener, Kirchhoff, and RW indices

The following theorem establishes a comparison of the Wiener, Kirchhoff, and RW indices for all graphs. In addition, it yields that these three indices are the same only in the class of trees.

Theorem 2 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, then we have:*

$$Kf(G) \leq W(G) \leq RW(G). \quad (20)$$

Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $Kf(G) = W(G)$,
- (ii) $W(G) = RW(G)$,
- (iii) $Kf(G) = RW(G)$,
- (iv) G is a tree.

Proof. To be self-contained, we proof the first inequality. Let s and t be two vertices of G . From an electric point of view, the equivalent resistance r_{st} is always the length d_{st} of a shortest path from s to t when there is only one path between s and t , otherwise the equivalent resistance is strictly smaller than this value d_{st} . Then, $r_{st} \leq d_{st}$ for every pair of vertices $s, t \in V$. Accordingly, $Kf(G) \leq W(G)$.

Now, we show the second inequality. Since the expected length \tilde{d}_{st} is by definition a convex combination of lengths of paths between s and t , \tilde{d}_{st} is always greater than the smallest length of these paths, i.e. $\tilde{d}_{st} \geq d_{st}$. Therefore, $W(G) \leq RW(G)$.

We focus on the equivalences. First, we assume that G is a tree. By definition, there exists only one path between every pair of vertices. Thus, $r_{st} = d_{st} = \tilde{d}_{st}$ for every pair of vertices s and t . Accordingly,

$$Kf(G) = W(G) = RW(G).$$

The last case is when G contains a cycle. Let C be an arbitrary cycle in G with the ordered vertices v_1, \dots, v_k . According to the Kirchhoff's circuit laws, assuming that $v_{k+1} = v_1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i_{v_1 v_2}^{v_i v_{i+1}} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$i_{v_1 v_2}^{v_1 v_2} = \sum_{i=2}^k i_{v_1 v_2}^{v_i v_{i+1}}.$$

Moreover, $i_{v_1 v_2}^{v_1 v_2} \neq 0$ since the edge (v_1, v_2) is the shortest path between v_1 and v_2 . Accordingly, $\sum_{i=2}^k i_{v_1 v_2}^{v_i v_{i+1}} \neq 0$, i.e. there exists an edge (u, v) such that $i_{v_1 v_2}^{uv} \neq 0$. It is necessary that this edge is from a path from v_1 to v_2 with non-zero probability. Notice that the length of this path must be greater than $d_{v_1 v_2} = 1$. Thus, there are 2 paths from v_1 to v_2 with a non-zero probability and with different length, so $d_{v_1 v_2} < \tilde{d}_{v_1 v_2}$, which implies that $W(G) < RW(G)$, since $d_{st} \leq \tilde{d}_{st} \forall s, t \in V$. Moreover, by the same argument, we have that $r_{v_1 v_2} < d_{v_1 v_2}$. Because for every pair of vertices $s, t \in V$, $r_{st} \leq d_{st}$, we conclude that $Kf(G) < W(G)$ and then $Kf(G) < RW(G)$. □

4 Vertex and edge decompositions of Wiener, Kirchhoff, and RW indices

4.1 Decompositions of the Wiener index

The computation of the Wiener index may be achieved through two distinct, but related, concepts, that are the transmission and the edge betweenness [8, 9]. On the one hand, the transmission T_v of the vertex v is defined as:

$$T_v = \sum_{u \in V} d_{uv}. \quad (21)$$

The vertex decomposition of the Wiener index is naturally:

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} T_v. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, if b_{uv} denotes the edge betweenness associated to the edge (u, v) , then Caporossi et al. [6] proved the edge decomposition of the Wiener index, i.e.

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v: (u,v) \in E} b_{uv} = \sum_{(u,v) \in E: u < v} b_{uv}. \quad (23)$$

4.2 Decompositions of the Kirchhoff index

Similarly to the decompositions of the Wiener index through transmission and edge betweenness, one can also decompose the Kirchhoff index through the related concepts of equivalent resistance and power dissipation. As stated by Klein and Randić [3], the equivalent resistance between any pair of vertices may be considered as a distance measure. It is then possible to compute the resistance transmission RT_v of a vertex v as follows:

$$RT_v = \sum_{u \in V} r_{uv}. \quad (24)$$

The Kirchhoff index then writes by the following vertex decomposition:

$$Kf(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} RT_v \quad (25)$$

If P^{uv} the power dissipation associated to each edge (u, v) , independently of the source and the sink, is defined by:

$$P^{uv} = \sum_{s, t \in V} \left(d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)} \right)^2, \quad (26)$$

then the edge decomposition of the Kirchhoff index of a graph G is:

$$Kf(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v: (u,v) \in E} P^{uv} = \sum_{(u,v) \in E: u < v} P^{uv}. \quad (27)$$

4.3 Decompositions of the RW index

In the same way as the Wiener and the Kirchhoff indices, the RW index can be decomposed according to vertices and edges. As the transmission is defined by the use of shortest paths, the random walks transmission RWT_v of a vertex v is defined as:

$$RWT_v = \sum_{u \in V} \tilde{d}_{uv}. \quad (28)$$

The vertex decomposition of the RW index of a graph G is then

$$RW(G) = \sum_{v \in V} RWT_v. \quad (29)$$

Moreover, the edge decomposition of the Wiener and the Kirchhoff indices can be also extended to the RW index. Indeed, while the edge betweenness represents the number of shortest paths through pairs of vertices that uses a given edge, the random edge betweenness rb_{uv} of the edge (u, v) represents the sum of the probabilities that the edge (u, v) is used over all pairs of vertices as follows:

$$rb_{uv} = \sum_{s,t \in V} |d_{st}^{(u)} - d_{st}^{(v)}|. \quad (30)$$

Accordingly, the RW index of a graph G has the following edge decomposition:

$$RW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v: (u,v) \in E} rb_{uv} = \sum_{(u,v) \in E: u < v} rb_{uv}. \quad (31)$$

4.4 Further remarks

As the adjusted¹ vertex betweenness [6], a vertex related value, was defined by

$$b'_v = \sum_{u: (u,v) \in E} b_{uv}, \quad (32)$$

we can define, in the same way, the vertex power dissipation p_v and the vertex random betweenness rb_v of a vertex v by the following equations:

$$p_v = \sum_{u: (u,v) \in E} p_{uv}, \quad (33)$$

$$rb_v = \sum_{u: (u,v) \in E} rb_{uv}. \quad (34)$$

5 Bounds and values of the RW index

5.1 Value of RW index for some families of graphs

In this section, we study the RW index for some families of graphs: paths, cycles, complete graphs and stars.

Theorem 3 *We denote by*

- C_n a cycle on n vertices,
- P_n a path on n vertices,
- S_n a star on n vertices,
- K_n a complete graphs on n vertices.

Then, the following results yield:

$$(i) \quad RW(C_n) = RW(P_n) = \frac{n}{6}(n^2 - 1),$$

$$(ii) \quad RW(S_n) = RW(K_n) = (n - 1)^2.$$

Proof. As mentionned in Theorem 2, in the class of trees, the three indices match. Moreover, Entringer, Jackson and Snyder [11] proved that $W(P_n) = \frac{n}{6}(n^2 - 1)$ and $W(S_n) = (n - 1)^2$, proving two of the four equalities.

We consider the cycle C_n on n vertices. Since every vertex has the same role in the graph, we can fix one of them, say s , as a source. Let k be the distance between the source and the sink. By the property of the

¹We are interested by the *adjusted* vertex betweenness, a centrality measure computing, for a given vertex u , the number of pairs of vertices such that u belongs to the shortest path between this pair of vertices. This definition allows that u can be an extremity of the shortest path, at the opposite of the vertex betweenness defined by Freeman [9, 10].

cycle, there are only two paths between s and t : one of length k and another one of length $n - k$. So, the potential difference all along each path is constant and inversely proportional to its length, i.e. the shortest path has a probability of $\frac{n-k}{n}$ and the longest one $\frac{k}{n}$. Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 RW(C_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in V} \sum_{t \in V} \tilde{d}_{st} \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(k \frac{n-k}{n} + (n-k) \frac{k}{n} \right) \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2}{n} (k(n-k)) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (k(n-k)) \\
 &= \frac{n}{6} (n^2 - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

The last case is the complete graph K_n . We fix arbitrarily a vertex s as a source and another vertex t as a sink, since every pair of vertices has the same feature. Paths of length strictly greater than 2 receive 0 as probability because these paths contain at least two successive vertices, distinct from the source and the sink, and because these two vertices have an identical role, and so the same potential. Thus, paths with non-zero probability have length 1 or 2. By a similar argument of the previous case, there are one path of length 1 with probability $\frac{2}{n}$ and $n - 2$ paths of length 2 with probability $\frac{1}{n}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 RW(K_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in V} \sum_{t \in V} \tilde{d}_{st} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \left(\frac{2}{n} + (n-2) \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
 &= (n-1)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

5.2 Bounds on RW index

We observe that in the class of trees, stars and paths are extremal graphs of the RW index, since it is the case for the Wiener index [11]. More generally, we present the two following conjectures for extremal graphs, found by AutoGraphiX [12, 13].

Conjecture 1 *The graph on n vertices that minimizes the RW index is either the star S_n or the complete graph K_n .*

Conjecture 2 *The graph on n vertices that maximizes the RW index is either the path P_n or the cycle C_n .*

Since the intensity of the edges are also related to the number of spanning trees [14, 15], it is interesting to observe that the graphs on n vertices minimizing the number of spanning trees [16] are exactly the ones minimizing the RW index, according to the Conjecture 1, and that is not the case for the Kirchhoff index.

6 Concluding remarks and extensions

A possible extension of the RW index could be on graphs with weights on the edges. Indeed, in this paper, we consider the case when each edge is associated to a resistor of 1Ω . In the case of weighted graphs, we could set a resistor of $w_e\Omega$ on each edge e with the weight w_e .

References

- [1] R. Diestel. Graph theory. 2005. Grad. Texts in Math, 101, 2005.
- [2] H. Wiener. Structural determination of paraffin boiling points. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 69(1):17–20, 1947.
- [3] D. J. Klein and M. Randić. Resistance distance. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 12(1):81–95, 1993.
- [4] K. Stephenson and M. Zelen. Rethinking centrality: Methods and examples. *Social Networks*, 11(1):1–37, 1989.
- [5] M. E. J. Newman. A measure of betweenness centrality based on random walks. *Social Networks*, 27(1):39–54, 2005.
- [6] G. Caporossi, M. Paiva, D. Vukićević, and M. Segatto. Centrality and betweenness: vertex and edge decomposition of the Wiener index. *MATCH-Communications in Mathematical and Computer Chemistry*, 68(1):293, 2012.
- [7] I. Gutman and W. Xiao. Generalized inverse of the laplacian matrix and some applications. *Bulletin de l'Academie Serbe des Sciences at des Arts (Cl. Math. Natur.)*, 129:15–23, 2004.
- [8] J. M. Anthonisse. The rush in a directed graph. *Stichting Mathematisch Centrum. Mathematische Besliskunde*, 1–10, 1971.
- [9] L. C. Freeman. A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. *Sociometry*, 35–41, 1977.
- [10] L. C. Freeman. Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, 1(3):215–239, 1979.
- [11] R. C. Entringer, D. E. Jackson, and D. A. Snyder. Distance in graphs. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 26(2):283–296, 1976.
- [12] G. Caporossi and P. Hansen. Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs: 1 The autographix system. *Discrete Mathematics*, 212(1):29–44, 2000.
- [13] G. Caporossi. Variable neighborhood search for extremal vertices: The system AutoGraphiX-III. Technical Report G-2015-09, Groupe d'Études et de Recherche en Analyse des Décisions, 2015.
- [14] G. Kirchhoff. Ueber die auflösung der gleichungen, auf welche man bei der untersuchung der linearen vertheilung galvanischer ströme geführt wird. *Annalen der Physik*, 148(12):497–508, 1847.
- [15] R. Lyons and Y. Peres. *Probability on Trees and Networks*. Cambridge University Press, 2016. Available at <http://pages.iu.edu/~rdlyons/>.
- [16] L. Feng, G. Yu, Z. Jiang, and L. Ren. Sharp upper bounds for the number of spanning trees of a graph. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 2:255–259, 2008.