

Recoverable Robustness by Column Generation

Marjan van den Akker¹ Paul Bouman^{1,2} Han Hoogeveen¹

1 Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University

2 Rotterdam School of Management, Erasmus University



Universiteit Utrecht

Overview

- Introduction to Recoverable Robustness
- Size Robust Knapsack Problems
- Decomposition Framework
- Experiments
- Demand Robust Shortest Path
- Conclusions



Recoverable robustness

- Method to cope with uncertainty
- Combination of
 - Robust optimization
 - Two-phase stochastic programming
- Reference:
 - Liebchen, Lübbecke, Möhring, Stiller LNCS 5868, 2009
- Much work done within the ARRIVAL project



Example: European Soccer Championship 2012

Scheme of the tournament

1. Group phase.

Four groups: numbers 1 and 2 advance

- 2. Knock-out phase:
 - 1. Quarter finals
 - 2. Semi finals
 - 3. Final



We want to support our team!





- We want to buy tickets
- Tickets must be bought *months in advance*
- The schedule for the group is known
- The schedule for the quarter finals etc. depends on the results in the group phase
- Which tickets should we buy?



To make it more clear ...

- Group B:
 - Netherlands
 - Germany
 - Denmark
 - Portugal
- Scenario 1: Netherlands B1, Germany B2
- Scenario 2: Germany B1, Netherlands B2
-alternative scenarios: other rankings



Robust Optimization

- Find the cheapest solution
 - You don't know yet which scenario applies
 - It must be feasible for all scenarios (possibly excluding the very unlikely ones)
 - Recovery actions afterwards are not possible
- Here: buy tickets for all matches for B1 and B2



2-phase stochastic programming

- Assumption: The probability of each scenario is known
- A solution comprises of first stage and second stage decisions; its cost is the expected cost
- The first stage decisions render a solution that can be made feasible through the second stage decisions when the real scenario gets revealed

For our example:

- First stage: buy tickets for the group phase now
- Second stage: acquire the tickets for the knock-out phase you need in some way



Recoverable robustness

- Robust optimization: too conservative
- 2-Phase stochastic programming: difficult models, may require lots of replanning
- Recoverable robustness:
 - Find an initial solution (first stage decision)
 - You must be able to make it feasible using a simple recovery algorithm for scenarios under consideration
 - The cost includes the first stage cost and possibly second stage



Recoverable robustness: example

Initial plan

- Suppose you think that Netherlands and Germany will advance, i.e., scenarios 1 and 2 are the scenarios under consideration
- Solution: Dutch supporters buy tickets for all matches of B1 and German supporters for B2, i.e., plan for scenario 1

Recovery rule

Exchange tickets with a supporter of the other team

Cheap and simple





Size Robust Knapsack Problem

- Knapsack items with deterministic weight a_i and profit c_i
- Select subset of the items:
 - Maximize profit while not exceeding knapsack volume
- The volume of the knapsack is b, but this value may be smaller than originally assumed
 - Set of scenarios: scenario s has volume b_s with probability p_s (scenario 0 is the original case)
- Initial knapsack must be recoverable according to given rules for each of the scenarios
- Büsing, Koster, Kutschka (2010). Recoverable robust knapsack, uncertainty in weights and profits of items.





Size Robust Knapsack Problem

- Possible objectives:
 - Initial profit and Feasibility recovery
 - Initial profit + Worst-case recovery
 - Expected profit
- Some possible recovery rules
 - Recovery by removal
 - Recovery by swapping
 - Cardinality constrained recovery
 - Greedy recovery (weight, profit, or profit per unit weight)
- Certain variants can be solved by Dynamic Programming (Bouman 2011)





Decomposition framework

- Two variants:
 - Separate recovery decomposition
 - Combined recovery decomposition

- Shown for demand robust knapsack problem with
 - Maximize expected profit
 - Recovery by removing items



Decomposition Framework: separate recovery

 Idea: a solution to a recoverable robust optimization problem is a combination of a single initial solution and a recovery solution for each scenario



Knapsack – Separate Recovery

- Objective: maximize expected profit
- Recovery: remove items

$$K(b) = \{k \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \sum_{i \in k} a_i \le b\}$$
$$C_k = \sum_{i \in k} c_i$$
For $k \in K(b)$: $a_{ik} = 1$ if $i \in k$ For $k \in K(b_s)$: $a_{ik}^s = 1$ if $i \in k$

Variables:

 $x_k = 1$ if knapsackk is selected, and 0 otherwise $y_k^s = 1$ if knapsackk is selected for scenarios, and 0 otherwise





Pricing problem

- Π_{is} duals of recovery constraints
- Pricing for initial problem variables (x_k):
 - Find optimal knapsack with total weight at most *b* and profit $(p_0 c_i \pi_{is})$
- Pricing for scenario s variables (y_k^s):
 - Find optimal knapsack with total weight b_s and profit $(p_s c_i + \pi_{is})$



Decomposition Framework: Combined Recovery

 The decomposition considers combinations of an initial solution and a recovery solution



Decomposition Framework: Combined Recovery

- This may lead to a situation where we have a different initial solution for each scenario...
 - So we add the constraint that they must be equal



ILP-Model: branch-and-price

Variables :

 x_i if item *i* is selected in initial solution

 z_{kq}^{s} if initial solution *k* and recovery solution *q* are selected for scenario *s*.



Pricing problem

- Find an optimal combination of an initial and recovery knapsack for a scenarios s
 - The profit of the initial knapsack items are determined by duals of the 'equal initial' constraints
 - The profit of the recovery knapsack items are equal to original profits.
- Solve by dynamic programming with state variables

 $D(i, w_0, w_s)$ = Best value for a *combination* of an initial and recovery knapsack where the initial knapsack is a subset of {1,2,...,i} and the knapsacks have weights w_0 and w_s



Computational experiments

- 4 types of Exact Algorithms
 - Separate Recovery Branch & Price
 - Combined Recovery Branch & Price
 - Branch & Bound
 - Exact Dynamic Programming
- Local search
- Separate Recovery Branch&Price performs better than the Combined Recovery Branch&Price
- Hillclimbing seems to perform quite good, but gives no guarantees
- Separate Recovery Branch&Price best exact algorithm





Demand Robust Shortest Path

- Shortest path problem
- Single source src, scenarios define sinks
- Buy edges during a cheaper initial phase
- When sink is known, edges are more expensive



Demand Robust Shortest Path

- Separate Recovery Decomposition seems quite complex wrt modeling
- Use Combined Recovery Decomposition!
- Minimize worst-case cost
- Model similar to knapsack
- Additional constraint for worst-case cost



Demand Robust Shortest Path

- Pricing problem becomes a shortest path problem:
 - Two prices for each edge: initial prices and recovery prices
 - Just take the cheapest
 - All prices include duals
 - Only initial prices can be negative due to duals. We take edges with negative price no matter what and consider their costs to be 0
 - Find the shortest path in a graph with non-negative edge weights

Solve using Dijkstra's Shortest Path Algorithm!



Conclusions

- The type of recovery has a lot of impact on finding an algorithm to the problem
- The column generation framework is a great way to reduce recoverable robustness problems into regular problems (while customization remains possible)
- We believe that it can be applied to many different problems (we already have some preliminary work on Demand robust shortest path and Network flows)

