Branch-and-Price for Vehicle Routing Problems with Multiple Synchronization Constraints

Michael Drexl

Chair of Logistics Management, Gutenberg School of Management and Economics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz

and

Fraunhofer Centre for Applied Research on Supply Chain Services SCS, Nuremberg

International Workshop on Column Generation 2012, Bromont, 10th–13th June

Objectives of talk:

- Introduce 'Vehicle routing problems with multiple synchronization constraints'
- Point out difficulties of a branch-and-price approach
- Present approaches for overcoming these difficulties

・ロン ・雪 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Three abbreviations:

VRP(TW):

Vehicle routing problem (with time windows)

VRPMS:

VRP with multiple synchronization constraints

VRPTT:

VRP with trailers and transshipments



2 VRPMSs

3 Solving VRPMSs by Branch-and-Price

4 Summary and Outlook





2 VRPMSs

3 Solving VRPMSs by Branch-and-Price

4 Summary and Outlook



VRP with Trailers and Transshipments (VRPTT):

Two extensions to basic VRP:

- 1 Heterogeneous locations: Four different types of location
- 2 Heterogeneous fleet: Four different types of vehicle



VRPTT: Relevant types of vehicle



★□▶ ★@▶ ★ ≣▶ ★ ≣▶ = Ξ

VRPTT: Relevant types of vehicle



VRPTT: Locations

- 🔺 Depot
- Lorry customer
- Trailer customer
- ♦ Transshipment location



VRPTT: Locations and example route plan



VRPTT: Locations and example route plan



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト ヨー のべく

VRPTT: Locations and example route plan



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

VRPTT: Locations and example route plan



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

VRPTT: Locations and example route plan



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

VRP with Trailers and Transshipments (VRPTT): Crux of problem:

Close interdependency between vehicles!

Requires five-fold synchronization of vehicles:

- Task synchronization (customer covering)
- Operation synchronization (time and locations of transshipments)
- Movement synchronization (of lorry pulling a trailer)
- Load synchronization (quantity transshipped)
 Note: Duration of transfer depends on quantity transshipped
- **5** Resource synchronization (use of transshipment locations)

VRP with Trailers and Transshipments (VRPTT):

Crux of problem:

Close interdependency between vehicles!

Requires five-fold synchronization of vehicles:

- Task synchronization (customer covering)
- Operation synchronization (time and locations of transshipments)
- Movement synchronization (of lorry pulling a trailer)
- Load synchronization (quantity transshipped)
 Note: Duration of transfer depends on quantity transshipped

5 Resource synchronization (use of transshipment locations)

Close interdependency between vehicles!

Requires five-fold synchronization of vehicles:

- Task synchronization (customer covering)
- Operation synchronization (time and locations of transshipments)
- Movement synchronization (of lorry pulling a trailer)
- Load synchronization (quantity transshipped)
 Note: Duration of transfer depends on quantity transshipped

(日) (四) (日) (日)

5 Resource synchronization (use of transshipment locations)

Close interdependency between vehicles!

Requires five-fold synchronization of vehicles:

- Task synchronization (customer covering)
- Operation synchronization (time and locations of transshipments)
- 3 Movement synchronization (of lorry pulling a trailer)
- Load synchronization (quantity transshipped)
 Note: Duration of transfer depends on quantity transshipped

イロン 不通 と 不良 と 不良 と

B Resource synchronization (use of transshipment locations)

Close interdependency between vehicles!

Requires five-fold synchronization of vehicles:

- Task synchronization (customer covering)
- Operation synchronization (time and locations of transshipments)
- 3 Movement synchronization (of lorry pulling a trailer)
- Load synchronization (quantity transshipped)
 Note: Duration of transfer depends on quantity transshipped

Resource synchronization (use of transshipment locations)

Close interdependency between vehicles!

Requires five-fold synchronization of vehicles:

- Task synchronization (customer covering)
- Operation synchronization (time and locations of transshipments)
- 3 Movement synchronization (of lorry pulling a trailer)
- 4 Load synchronization (quantity transshipped)
 Note: Duration of transfer depends on quantity transshipped
- 5 Resource synchronization (use of transshipment locations)







3 Solving VRPMSs by Branch-and-Price





Examples of VRPMSs

Real-world applications:

- Raw milk collection
- Food distribution to supermarkets
- Fuel oil delivery to private households
- Garbage collection
- City logistics
- Bitumen and concrete delivery
- Forest management
- Mid-air refuelling of aircraft
- Letter mail or parcel delivery
- Field service and homecare personnel dispatching

Examples of VRPMSs

Real-world applications:

- Raw milk collection
- Food distribution to supermarkets
- Fuel oil delivery to private households
- Garbage collection
- City logistics
- Bitumen and concrete delivery
- Forest management
- Mid-air refuelling of aircraft
- Letter mail or parcel delivery
- Field service and homecare personnel dispatching

Examples of VRPMSs

Real-world applications:

- Raw milk collection
- Food distribution to supermarkets
- Fuel oil delivery to private households
- Garbage collection
- City logistics
- Bitumen and concrete delivery
- Forest management
- Mid-air refuelling of aircraft
- Letter mail or parcel delivery
- Field service and homecare personnel dispatching

VRPMSs

Generic characteristics:

- More than one (type of) vehicle may or must be used to fulfil tasks
- Possibility or requirement of transshipments
- Collection and/or transshipment quantities not fixed
- Common scarce resources

Important problem classes:

Simultaneous vehicle and crew routing and scheduling

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Pickup-and-delivery with transshipments
- Single- and multi-echelon location-routing

VRPMSs

Generic characteristics:

- More than one (type of) vehicle may or must be used to fulfil tasks
- Possibility or requirement of transshipments
- Collection and/or transshipment quantities not fixed
- Common scarce resources

Important problem classes:

Simultaneous vehicle and crew routing and scheduling

イロン 不通 と 不良 と 不良 と

- Pickup-and-delivery with transshipments
- Single- and multi-echelon location-routing







3 Solving VRPMSs by Branch-and-Price





Decomposition Approach

Basic decomposition approach for VRPTW:

Master problem:

Coupling constraints:

Customer covering synchronization

One pricing problem:

Non-coupling constraints (individual routes/vehicles):

- Flow conservation
- Vehicle capacity
- Static time windows

Decomposition Approach

Basic decomposition approach for VRPTT:

Master problem (coupling constraints):

- Customer covering synchronization
- Operation synchronization
- Movement synchronization
- Load synchronization
- Resource synchronization

Several pricing problems (individual routes/vehicles):

- Flow conversation
- Vehicle capacity
- Static time windows

Pricing problem:

- (Elementary) shortest path problem with resource constraints ((E)SPPRC)
- Traditionally solved by dynamic-programming based labelling algorithm
- Uses resources and resource extension functions (REFs)

Resources and REFs in VRPTW pricing problem:

- Cost c_i : $f_{ij}^{cost}(c_i) = c_i + \tilde{c}_{ij}$
- Time t_i : $f_{ij}^{time}(t_i) = \max(a_j, t_i + t_{ij}^{travel})$
- Load I_i : $f_{ij}^{load}(I_i) = I_i + s_i$

Pricing problem:

- (Elementary) shortest path problem with resource constraints ((E)SPPRC)
- Traditionally solved by dynamic-programming based labelling algorithm
- Uses resources and resource extension functions (REFs)

イロン スロン メヨン メヨン

э

Resources and REFs in VRPTW pricing problem:

Pricing Problem

Two desirable properties of REFs (Desaulniers et al. 1998):

- All REFs for an arc (i, j) should depend only on the resource vector at i.
 - \rightarrow Intermediate resource values can be computed; yield lower bounds for values of resource variables
- 2 All REFs should be non-decreasing.
 - \rightarrow Lowest cost at j always obtained for smallest possible resource values

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

REFs in VRPTW pricing problem possess both properties:

• Cost:
$$f_{ij}^{cost}(c_i) = c_i + \tilde{c}_{ij}$$

- Time: $f_{ij}^{time}(t_i) = \max(a_j, t_i + t_{ij}^{travel})$
- Load: $f_{ij}^{load}(l_i) = l_i + s_i$

Pricing Problem

Two desirable properties of REFs (Desaulniers et al. 1998):

- All REFs for an arc (i, j) should depend only on the resource vector at i.
 - \rightarrow Intermediate resource values can be computed; yield lower bounds for values of resource variables
- 2 All REFs should be non-decreasing.
 - \rightarrow Lowest cost at j always obtained for smallest possible resource values

REFs in VRPTW pricing problem possess both properties:

• Cost:
$$f_{ij}^{cost}(c_i) = c_i + \tilde{c}_{ij}$$

Time:
$$f_{ij}^{time}(t_i) = \max(a_j, t_i + t_{ij}^{travel})$$

• Load: $f_{ij}^{load}(l_i) = l_i + s_i$

Pricing Problem Issues

REFs in VRPTT pricing problems:

- Load and time influenced by other vehicles
 - \rightarrow REFs not only dependent on resource vector at i
- Two trade-offs:
 - Load: gain capacity or save time
 - Time: provide capacity early or avoid binding lorries
 - \rightarrow REFs not non-decreasing
- \rightarrow REFs possess neither property

Essentially, the determination of a cost-optimal schedule and load plan for a <u>fixed</u> path becomes an optimization problem in itself.

・ロ と ・ 日 と ・ モ と ・ ・ 田 と

Pricing Problem Issues

REFs in VRPTT pricing problems:

- Load and time influenced by other vehicles
 - \rightarrow REFs not only dependent on resource vector at i
- Two trade-offs:
 - Load: gain capacity or save time
 - Time: provide capacity early or avoid binding lorries
 - \rightarrow REFs not non-decreasing
- \rightarrow REFs possess neither property

Essentially, the determination of a cost-optimal schedule and load plan for a <u>fixed</u> path becomes an optimization problem in itself.

・ロ と ・ 日 と ・ モ と ・ ・ 田 と

Approaches for dealing with synchronization requirements:

- Solution by dynamic programming/labelling:
 - Discretization (Desrosiers 2005)
 - Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011)
 - Non-pairwise dominance between sets of functions (loachim, Gélinas, Soumis, and Desrosiers 1998)
 - Point-in-polyhedron tests used in computational geometry (O'Rourke 1998)

Solution as MIP:

- Branch-and-cut (Jepsen, Petersen, Spoorendonk, and Pisinger 2011)
- Branch-and-price (Hennig, Nygreen, and Lübbecke 2010)

Approaches for dealing with synchronization requirements:

- Solution by dynamic programming/labelling:
 - Discretization (Desrosiers 2005)
 - Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011)
 - Non-pairwise dominance between sets of functions (loachim, Gélinas, Soumis, and Desrosiers 1998)
 - Point-in-polyhedron tests used in computational geometry (O'Rourke 1998)
- Solution as MIP:
 - Branch-and-cut (Jepsen, Petersen, Spoorendonk, and Pisinger 2011)
 - Branch-and-price (Hennig, Nygreen, and Lübbecke 2010)

Discretization (Desrosiers 2005):

- Space-time-vehicle-load network
- One vertex for each combination of
 - Location
 - Point in time
 - Passive vehicle
 - Load transfer quantity
- Allows using standard labelling algorithms
- Trade-off between granularity of discretization and network size
- Important special cases: discrete load quantities by nature (swap-body platforms, garages)

・ロト ・通 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Partial discretization possible (only load, only time)

Discretization (Desrosiers 2005):

- Space-time-vehicle-load network
- One vertex for each combination of
 - Location
 - Point in time
 - Passive vehicle
 - Load transfer quantity
- Allows using standard labelling algorithms
- Trade-off between granularity of discretization and network size
- Important special cases: discrete load quantities by nature (swap-body platforms, garages)

・ロン ・雪 と ・ ヨ と

Partial discretization possible (only load, only time)

Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011):

 Use idea of *branching on resource variables* introduced by Gélinas, Desrochers, Desrosiers, and Solomon (1995)

- Ignore synchronization constraints in master problem
- Check for violated synchronization constraints when branching

Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011):

- Use idea of *branching on resource variables* introduced by Gélinas, Desrochers, Desrosiers, and Solomon (1995)
- Ignore synchronization constraints in master problem
- Check for violated synchronization constraints when branching:





Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011):

- Use idea of *branching on resource variables* introduced by Gélinas, Desrochers, Desrosiers, and Solomon (1995)
- Ignore synchronization constraints in master problem
- Check for violated synchronization constraints when branching:



Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011):

- Use idea of *branching on resource variables* introduced by Gélinas, Desrochers, Desrosiers, and Solomon (1995)
- Ignore synchronization constraints in master problem
- Check for violated synchronization constraints when branching:



Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011):

- Use idea of *branching on resource variables* introduced by Gélinas, Desrochers, Desrosiers, and Solomon (1995)
- Ignore synchronization constraints in master problem
- Check for violated synchronization constraints when branching:



Branching (Dohn, Rasmussen, and Larsen 2011):

- Use idea of *branching on resource variables* introduced by Gélinas, Desrochers, Desrosiers, and Solomon (1995)
- Ignore synchronization constraints in master problem
- Check for violated synchronization constraints when branching:



VRPTT requires branching on both time and load

Non-pairwise dominance between sets of functions (loachim, Gélinas, Soumis, and Desrosiers 1998):

Pricing problem with *linear time costs at vertices*



・ロン ・通 と ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- Vertex cost function $f^{p}(t)$ for given path p:
 - Piecewise linear
 - Convex
 - Finite number of linear pieces
 - Increasing pieces can be ignored

Non-pairwise dominance between sets of functions (loachim, Gélinas, Soumis, and Desrosiers 1998):

Pricing problem with *linear time costs at vertices*



Modified vertex cost function $g^{p}(t)$ for given path p:

- Piecewise linear
- Convex
- Nonincreasing
- At most as many linear pieces as there are vertices in p

・ロト ・ 日本 ・ モト ・ モト

Non-pairwise dominance between sets of functions (loachim, Gélinas, Soumis, and Desrosiers 1998):

Non-pairwise dominance:



(ロ) (四) (注) (注) (注)

- Dominance function $D_i(t)$:
 - Piecewise linear
 - Nonincreasing
 - Not necessarily convex or continuous
- Labels: Breakpoints of $D_i(t)$: $(t_i^k, D_i(t_i^k), s_i^k)$

Non-pairwise dominance between sets of functions (loachim, Gélinas, Soumis, and Desrosiers 1998):

Non-pairwise dominance:



- Dominance function $D_i(t)$:
 - Piecewise linear
 - Nonincreasing
 - Not necessarily convex or continuous
- Labels: Breakpoints of $D_i(t)$: $(t_i^k, D_i(t_i^k), s_i^k)$

Point-in-polyhedron tests used in computational geometry (O'Rourke 1998):

- Extension of algorithm of loachim et al. to higher dimensions
- More difficult for non-convex point sets
- Fast and numerically robust implementation appears non-trivial (K. Mehlhorn, 2006)

A B > A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Branch-and-cut (Jepsen, Petersen, Spoorendonk, and Pisinger 2011):

A B > A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

- Promising results for ESPP with a capacity constraint
- Ten types of valid inequalities used
- Behaviour for VRPTT pricing problem unclear

Branch-and-price (Hennig, Nygreen, and Lübbecke 2010):

 'Nested column generation for the crude oil tanker routing and scheduling problem with split pickup and split delivery'
 Issue of loading/unloading quantities similar to VRPTT

Two-level approach:

Level 1 master problem: p routing and continuous cargo patt

Level 2 master problem (= level 1 pricing problem): Receives dual information on supply constraints,

returns ship routing variables

Level 2 pricing problem (ESPP with time windows): Receives dual information on load and time restrictions along arcs, generates ship routing variables

Branch-and-price (Hennig, Nygreen, and Lübbecke 2010):

- 'Nested column generation for the crude oil tanker routing and scheduling problem with split pickup and split delivery'
- Issue of loading/unloading quantities similar to VRPTT
- Two-level approach:

Level 1 master problem:

Binary ship routing and continuous cargo pattern variables

Level 2 master problem (= level 1 pricing problem): Receives dual information on supply constraints, returns ship routing variables

Level 2 pricing problem (ESPP with time windows): Receives dual information on load and time restrictions along arcs, generates ship routing variables

Branch-and-price (Hennig, Nygreen, and Lübbecke 2010):

- 'Nested column generation for the crude oil tanker routing and scheduling problem with split pickup and split delivery'
- Issue of loading/unloading quantities similar to VRPTT
- Two-level approach:

Level 1 master problem:

Binary ship routing and continuous cargo pattern variables ↓ Level 2 master problem (= level 1 pricing problem): Receives dual information on supply constraints, returns ship routing variables ↓ Level 2 pricing problem (ESPP with time windows): Receives dual information on load and time restrictions along arcs, generates ship routing variables

Branch-and-price (Hennig, Nygreen, and Lübbecke 2010):

- 'Nested column generation for the crude oil tanker routing and scheduling problem with split pickup and split delivery'
- Issue of loading/unloading quantities similar to VRPTT
- Two-level approach:

Level 1 master problem:

Binary ship routing and continuous cargo pattern variables ↓ Level 2 master problem (= level 1 pricing problem): Receives dual information on supply constraints, returns ship routing variables ↓ Level 2 pricing problem (ESPP with time windows): Receives dual information on load and time restrictions along arcs, generates ship routing variables



2 VRPMSs

3 Solving VRPMSs by Branch-and-Price

4 Summary and Outlook





VRPMSs are a practically relevant and scientifically challenging object of study.

The VRPTT is a suitable unified model for representing most kinds of VRPMSs.

It is not evident how the synchronization requirements can best be considered in an MIP approach.

・ロト ・個ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



VRPMSs are a practically relevant and scientifically challenging object of study.

The VRPTT is a suitable unified model for representing most kinds of VRPMSs.

It is not evident how the synchronization requirements can best be considered in an MIP approach.



VRPMSs are a practically relevant and scientifically challenging object of study.

The VRPTT is a suitable unified model for representing most kinds of VRPMSs.

It is not evident how the synchronization requirements can best be considered in an MIP approach.

Outlook

Open questions:

- How do presented approaches compare with each other?
- Which one(s) is/are best
 - for which problem types?
 - for which data?
- What about assumption of one pricing problem per vehicle class?

・ロン ・雪 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

• Which other (CG-based) solution approaches are there?

Branch-and-Price for Vehicle Routing Problems with Multiple Synchronization Constraints

Michael Drexl

Chair of Logistics Management, Gutenberg School of Management and Economics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz

and

Fraunhofer Centre for Applied Research on Supply Chain Services SCS, Nuremberg

International Workshop on Column Generation 2012, Bromont, 10th–13th June